

# Ambient Carbon Dioxide Capture by Boron-Rich **Boron Nitride Nanotube**

Heechol Choi,<sup>†</sup> Young Choon Park,<sup>†</sup> Yong-Hyun Kim,<sup>\*,‡</sup> and Yoon Sup Lee<sup>\*,†</sup>

<sup>+</sup>Department of Chemistry, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (KAIST), Daejeon 305-701, Korea <sup>‡</sup>Graduate School of Nanoscience and Technology (WCU), KAIST, Daejeon 305-701, Korea

Supporting Information

**ABSTRACT:** Carbon dioxides  $(CO_2)$  emitted from largescale coal-fired power stations or industrial manufacturing plants have to be properly captured to minimize environmental side effects. From results of ab initio calculations using plane waves [PAW-PBE] and localized atomic orbitals [ONIOM(wB97X-D/6-31G\*:AM1)], we report strong  $CO_2$  adsorption on boron antisite ( $B_N$ ) in boron-rich boron nitride nanotube (BNNT). We have identified two adsorption states: (1) A linear  $CO_2$  molecule is physically adsorbed on the  $B_{N_1}$  showing electron donation from the CO<sub>2</sub> lonepair states to the  $B_N$  double-acceptor state, and (2) the physisorbed CO<sub>2</sub> undergoes a carboxylate-like structural distortion and C=O  $\pi$ -bond breaking due to electron backdonation from B<sub>N</sub> to CO<sub>2</sub>. The CO<sub>2</sub> chemisorption energy on B<sub>N</sub> is almost independent of tube diameter and, more importantly, higher than the standard free energy of gaseous CO<sub>2</sub> at room temperature. This implies that boron-rich BNNT could capture CO<sub>2</sub> effectively at ambient conditions.

arbon-based fossil fuels, which presently supply about 80% of the world's energy needs, are the main source of the increasing level of carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  in the atmosphere. The increased CO<sub>2</sub> level is believed to enhance the greenhouse effect and its global adverse consequences. Sequestration of the CO<sub>2</sub> emitted from coal-fired power stations or industrial manufacturing plants is thus one of the most pressing issues in the environmental protection. An ideal CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration material should have large surface areas and strong adsorption sites that are accessible for ambient  $CO_2$  gas. Several  $CO_2$  adsorbents<sup>1-3</sup> have been proposed previously including metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), carbon nanotubes, and silicon carbide (SiC) nanotubes, but the reported CO2-adsorbent interactions are too weak to be suitable for ambient gas sequestration. Due to their intrinsic high surface areas and polarity, boron nitride nanotube (BNNT) could be a good candidate as CO<sub>2</sub> adsorbent. However, the pristine BNNT with a large band gap is almost inert to the closed-shell CO<sub>2</sub>. It is generally expected that the very stable closed-shell molecule will only interact weakly with other materials.

In this communication, on the basis of results of ab initio calculations using theories of plane waves  $[\mbox{PAW-PBE}]^{4,5}$  and localized atomic orbitals [ONIOM(wB97X-D/6-31G\*:AM1)],<sup>5,6</sup> we report that a defect site of BNNT can capture CO<sub>2</sub> strongly enough to the ambient-condition sequestration. Among various



**Figure 1.** (a) Optimized geometry of boron antisite  $B_N$  in (8,0) BNNT. The same of (b) physisorbed and (c) chemisorbed  $CO_2$  on top of the B<sub>N</sub>. The B, C, N, and O atoms are represented in pink, gray, blue, and red, respectively.

native defects, we focus on boron antisite  $(B_N)$ , in which a boron atom sits at the original nitrogen site with being surrounded by three boron atoms, as shown in Figure 1a. The double-acceptor antisite can interact with a CO2 molecule strongly enough to break a C=O double bond. This type of interaction is analogous with the dihydrogen interaction in boron-doped carbon nanostructures, where a closed-shell H2 was attracted by a single acceptor state of the carbon-substituted boron.

To search for growth conditions of the defect B<sub>N</sub> in BNNT, we calculated defect formation energies  $(E_{\text{form}})$  for (8,0) and (10,0)BNNTs in various chemical environments. The defect formation energy is defined as the following:<sup>8</sup>

$$E_{\text{form}} = E_{\text{total}}[B_{\text{N}} - B_{\text{NNT}}] - E_{\text{total}}[B_{\text{NNT}}] + \mu_{\text{N}} - \mu_{\text{B}} \qquad (1)$$

where  $E_{\text{total}}[B_{\text{N}}-\text{BNNT}]$  and  $E_{\text{total}}[\text{BNNT}]$  are the PAW-PBE total energies of BNNT with and without the defect, respectively;  $\mu_{\rm N}$  and  $\mu_{\rm B}$  are the chemical potentials of N and B sources, respectively. For any growth condition of BNNT, it always holds that  $\mu_{BN} = \mu_N + \mu_B$ . Two limiting growth conditions are thus possible as boron-rich ( $\mu_{\rm B} = \mu_{\rm B,0}$  and  $\mu_{\rm N} = \mu_{\rm BN} - \mu_{\rm B,0}$ ) and nitrogen-rich ( $\mu_{\rm N} = \mu_{\rm N,0}$  and  $\mu_{\rm B} = \mu_{\rm BN} - \mu_{\rm N,0}$ ) conditions, where  $\mu_{\rm B,0}$  and  $\mu_{\rm N,0}$  are the reference systems, respectively. Using eq 1, we calculated formation energies of B<sub>N</sub> at various chemical environments, as summarized in Table 1. We could immediately notice that the growth of B<sub>N</sub> is much more probable in the boronrich condition than in the nitrogen-rich limit. The formation energies of B<sub>N</sub> vary as well, depending on a type of boron source. When rhombohedral boron  $(\alpha - B_{12})^9$  is used as the boron source, the  $B_{\rm N}$  formation energy is calculated to be 4 eV, which is very comparable to the previous theoretical values.  $^{10}$  When  $B_2H_6$  gas

Received: November 12, 2010 Published: February 2, 2011

Table 1. Defect Formation Energies ( $E_{\rm form}$  in eV) of the Boron Antisite ( $B_N$ ) in (8,0) and (10,0) BNNTs with Various Chemical Environments, Calculated Using the PAW-PBE Method

	N-rich condition	B-rich condition				
	$N_2$	α-B <sub>12</sub>	$B_2H_6$	$B_2H_4$	BH3	
(8,0)	8.35	3.99	3.96	1.84	1.76	
(10,0)	8.61	4.06	4.03	1.92	1.83	

Table 2. Structural Parameters and  $CO_2$  Adsorption Energies  $(E_{ads})$  in (8,0) BNNT with a Boron Antisite ( $B_N$ ) for the Physisorption and Chemisorption Configurations, Obtained by Using PAW-PBE and ONIOM(wB97X-D/6-31G\*:AM1)<sup>a</sup>

	physisorption		chemisorption		
	PAW-PBE	ONIOM	PAW-PBE	ONIOM	
$r(B_N - B)^b$	1.657	1.672	1.817	1.879	
$r(B_N - O)$	1.832	1.904	1.600	1.571	
$r(B_N-C)$	2.572	2.649	1.732	1.713	
$r(C-O)^{c}$	1.192	1.178	1.282	1.267	
$a(B_N - O - C)$	114.9	116.6	72.9	73.4	
a(O-C-O)	177.6	178.7	139.0	139.4	
$E_{\rm ads}$	0.21	0.34	0.82	0.74	

<sup>*a*</sup> Bond distance (r) in Å, bond angle (a) in deg, and  $E_{ads}$  in eV. <sup>*b*</sup> The B<sub>N</sub>-B bond is parallel to the tube axis as well as the adsorbed CO<sub>2</sub>. <sup>*c*</sup> The C=O bond is located on top of the B<sub>N</sub>.

is used, the formation energy is almost the same, 4 eV. However, when the high-energy boron source such as BH<sub>3</sub> or  $B_2H_4$  gas is used, the  $B_N$  formation energy is decreased to 1.8-1.9 eV. Therefore, to create many  $B_N$  sites in BNNT, one has to keep a boron-rich growth condition and use an energetic boron source such as BH<sub>3</sub> or  $B_2H_4$  gas.

Next, we considered the  $CO_2$  adsorption onto a  $B_N$  site of (8,0) BNNT. The structural relaxation with the PAW-PBE method results in the  $B_N$  defect protruding outward by about 0.45 Å from the BNNT surface, as shown in Figure 1a. The protruding geometry would facilitate the  $CO_2$  adsorption by reducing steric hindrance from the BNNT side wall.<sup>11</sup>

We identified two distinct adsorptive configurations of  $CO_{2i}$ as shown in b and c of Figure 1 respectively corresponding to physisorption and chemisorption. The CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption energy  $(E_{ads})$  is defined as  $E_{ads} = E_{total}(B_N - BNNT) + E_{total}(CO_2)$  $E_{\text{total}}(\text{CO}_2 + \text{B}_N - \text{BNNT})$ . By definition,  $E_{\text{ads}} > 0$  corresponds to favorable or exothermic adsorption of CO2 on boron-rich BNNT. The calculated geometrical parameters and adsorption energies of physi- and chemisorbed CO2 on a BN are summarized in Table 2. In its physisorbed configuration, the linear CO<sub>2</sub> molecule is slantingly attached to B<sub>N</sub> with one O end located almost on top of the defect site, similar to the physisorbed CO<sub>2</sub> on Mg-MOF-74.<sup>2</sup> The  $B_N$ -O-C angle is 114.9°, and the  $B_N$ -O distance is 1.832 Å, which is much shorter than the van der Waals distance of 3.52 Å. The short  $B_N$ -O distance indicates that the CO<sub>2</sub> physisorption is not controlled by typical dispersion forces, but enhanced by some types of chemical interaction. Because of the interaction, the physisorbed CO<sub>2</sub> molecule undergoes small but noticeable structural changes; two C=O bond lengths of the



**Figure 2.** (Left) Total and (right) local density of states (DOS) for (a, b) nonbonding, (c,d) physisorption, and (e,f) chemisorption configurations. The local DOS was projected onto (blue) four boron atoms at the defect site and (red) CO<sub>2</sub>. The position of the Fermi level ( $E_F$ ) is marked by the green line.

physisorbed CO<sub>2</sub> are 1.192 Å and 1.167 Å. The C=O bond close to the B<sub>N</sub> site is elongated by 0.015 Å, and the other is shortened by 0.010 Å, compared to 1.177 Å of the free CO<sub>2</sub>. The adsorption energy of the physisorbed CO<sub>2</sub> is calculated to be 0.21 eV. In its chemisorbed configuration, the CO<sub>2</sub> molecule undergoes structural distortion to a bent geometry and double-bond breaking of one C=O bond the same way as in forming a carboxylate group. The O-C-O bond angle is 139.0°, and the broken C-O bond is significantly elongated to 1.282 Å on top of the B<sub>N</sub>. The defect site is also considerably pulled out by 0.73 Å. The B<sub>N</sub>-C and B<sub>N</sub>-O distances are 1.732 Å and 1.600 Å, respectively, forming a C-B<sub>N</sub>-O triangle similar to the 3-center-2-electron (3c-2e) bonding configuration.<sup>7,12</sup> The triangle is contrasted with the 2 + 2 cycloaddition configuration with a four-membered ring for chemisorbed CO<sub>2</sub> on (8,0) SiC nanotube.<sup>3</sup> The chemisorption energy is calculated to be 0.82 eV in PAW-PBE.

To understand microscopic origins of the enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> physisorption and chemisorption on B<sub>N</sub> of BNNT, we performed electronic structure analyses by calculating total and local densities of states (DOS) for nonbonding, physisorption, and chemisorption configurations, as shown in Figure 2a–f. The total DOS clearly shows that the boron-rich BNNT is a large-gap semiconductor with a defect-related gap state. The local DOS plot confirms that the B<sub>N</sub> defect introduces the unoccupied double-acceptor defect state in the middle of the energy gap, and also occupied defect states near the valence band edge. Figure 3a–c shows that the occupied defect states have the character of the  $pp\pi$  or  $p_z$  orbital. We named them  $\sigma(B_N)$  and  $p_z(B_N)$ , respectively.

For the nonbonding configuration, in which  $CO_2$  is 8.6 Å away from BNNT, the occupied  $CO_2$  molecular states are distributed at 3–8 eV below the Fermi level ( $E_F$ ), while the unoccupied  $p_z(B_N)$  is at 1.4 eV above  $E_F$ . As the  $CO_2$  is physisorbed, the occupied  $CO_2$  states are all down-shifted by up to 2 eV, and the unoccupied  $p_z(B_N)$  is up-shifted by 1 eV. This level repulsion corresponds to the so-called electron-donation mechanism because the  $CO_2$  gives its electron to the double-acceptor  $B_N$ . For the  $CO_2$  chemisorption configuration, the local DOS plot shows



**Figure 3.** (a,b) Occupied defect states  $\sigma(B_N)$  and (c) the unoccupied  $p_z$ -like double-acceptor state  $p_z(B_N)$  in (8,0) BNNT with a boron antisite. (d) The unoccupied  $\pi^*$ -like CO<sub>2</sub> state of the CO<sub>2</sub>-physisorbed configuration, which strongly couples with one of the  $\sigma(B_N)$  states in the chemisorption process. The orbital plots are obtained from ONIOM.



**Figure 4.**  $CO_2$  adsorption energies on  $B_N$  in various (n,0) BNNTs. The red (blue) curve corresponds to the adsorbed  $CO_2$  parallel (oblique) to the tube axis. The solid and open symbols represent chemisorption and physisorption, respectively. The black curve is for  $CO_2$  adsorption energies in (n,0) SiC nanotubes, taken from ref 3 for the sake of contrast. The green line indicates the standard free energy (0.67 eV) of gaseous  $CO_2$  at 300 K. The zero-point energy correction of about 0.04 eV is not included in the plot.

the overall increase of boron states at the valence band due to the level repulsion between one of the occupied  $\sigma(B_N)$  states and an unoccupied  $CO_2$  state,  $\pi^*(CO_2)$ . This level repulsion corresponds to the electron back-donation, namely, electron transfer from BNNT to  $CO_2$ . The electron donation—back-donation process leads to the C=O double-bond breaking (1.282 Å from 1.177 Å of the free  $CO_2$ ) and the  $B_N$ —B bond elongation (1.817 Å from 1.636 Å in the nonbonding configuration).

The ONIOM method produces more or less the same CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption characteristics, as compared with that from PAW-PBE (Table 2). The difference between two methods is within about 0.08 Å for bond length and about 2° for bond angle. Such a remarkable agreement can be attributed to the localized character of the CO<sub>2</sub>-B<sub>N</sub> interaction. The dispersion-corrected physisorption energy of 0.34 eV using the wB97X-D functional is comparable to 0.43 eV for the physisorbed CO<sub>2</sub> in Mg-MOF-72.<sup>2</sup> Note that in ref 2 theory and experiment agree very well. From natural population analysis,<sup>5</sup> we confirmed that 0.19 electron is indeed donated from the CO<sub>2</sub> molecule to the defect site in the physisorption configuration, whereas 0.26 electron is backdonated in the chemisorption configuration. By performing IRC calculations,<sup>5</sup> we could identify a transition state (TS) between the physisorption and chemisorption configurations. Thus, the chemisorption process has the small reaction energy

barrier of 0.043 eV from the physisorption configuration. The physisorption process has no TS.

By using the efficient hybrid approach of ONIOM, we could extensively investigate  $CO_2$  adsorption characteristics on  $B_N$ for various configurations and BNNTs. We found that  $CO_2$ physi- and chemisorption energies on the defect site are almost independent of BNNT diameters and bonding directions. As displayed in Figure 4, almost constant adsorption energies of  $CO_2$  are obtained for various (*n*,0) BNNTs, where *n* = 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, and 18. The red (blue) curve in Figure 4 is for the adsorbed  $CO_2$  parallel (oblique) to tube axis. This is again attributed to the localized character of the  $CO_2$ -B<sub>N</sub> interaction.

Of special interest is that the chemisorption energies are all greater than the standard free energy of gaseous  $CO_2$ , i.e., 0.67 eV at 300 K. This is clearly an advantage of boron-rich BNNT over the previously proposed adsorbents,<sup>1,2</sup> namely, capturing  $CO_2$  at ambient conditions. Also, boron-rich BNNT has an additional merit over SiC nanotubes, for the  $CO_2$  interaction strength on SiC nanotubes is strongly diameter dependent,<sup>3</sup> as shown in Figure 4.

In conclusion, ab initio calculation results suggest that the boron antisite  $(B_N)$  defect of boron-rich BNNT could capture  $CO_2$  at ambient conditions. The  $CO_2$  capture would be initiated with a barrier-less physisorption process due to the electron donation from  $CO_2$  to the double-acceptor defect site, and proceed to the chemisorption state by breaking one C=O double bond. Finally, we are actively investigating the potential of utilizing the  $CO_2$ -adhesive  $B_N$  defect as a catalyst for the  $CO_2$  conversion to fuel, for example, to formic acid (HCOOH).

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

**Supporting Information.** Computational details of PAW-PBE and ONIOM(wB97X-D/6-31G\*:AM1). Optimized geometric parameters and relative energies of stationary points on the CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption paths. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

yong.hyun.kim@kaist.ac.kr; yslee@kaist.edu

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRFK) Grants (NRF-2009-351-C00123, 2009-0076263, and 2010-0001632) and the EEWS program of KAIST. Y.H.K was supported by the WCU program (R31-2008-000-10071-0) and Basic Science Research program (2010-0006922) through the NRFK.

#### REFERENCES

(1) (a) Pulido, A.; Delgado, M. R.; Bludský, O.; Rubeš, M.; Nachtigall, P.; Areán, C. O. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2009**, *2*, 1187. (b) Gray, M. L.; Soong, Y.; Champagne, K. J.; Pennline, H.; Baltrus, J. P., Jr.; Khatri, R.; Chuang, S. S. C.; Filburn, T. *Fuel Process. Technol.* **2005**, *86*, 1449. (c) Banerjee, R.; Phan, A.; Wang, B.; Knobler, C.; Furukawa, H.; O'Keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O. M. *Science* **2008**, *319*, 939. (d) Zhao, J.; Buldum, A.; Han, J.; Lu, J. P. *Nanotechnology* **2002**, *13*, 195. (e) Bienfait, M.; Zeppenfeld, P.; Dupont-Pavlovsky, N.; Muris, M.; Johnson, M. R.; Wilson, T.; DePies, M.; Vilches, O. E. *Phys. Rev. B* **2004**, *70*, 035410. (f) Matranga, C.; Bockrath, B. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2005**, *109*, 9209. (g) Lu, C.; Bai, H.; Wu, B.; Su, F.; Hwang, J. F. *Energy Fuels* **2008**, *22*, 3050.

## Journal of the American Chemical Society

(2) Valenzano, L.; Civalleri, B.; Chavan, S.; Palomino, G. T.; Areán, C. O.; Bordiga, S. J. Phys. Chem. C **2010**, 114, 11185.

(3) Zhao, J. X.; Ding, Y. H. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2009, 5, 1099.

(4) (a) Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. Phys. Rev. B **1999**, 59, 1758. (b) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Phys. Rev. Lett. **1996**, 77, 3865.

(5) See the Supporting Information.
(6) (a) Maseras, F.; Morokuma, K. J. Comput. Chem. 1995, 16, 1170.

(b) Chai, J.; Head-Gorden, M. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2008, 10, 6615.
(c) Hariharan, P. C.; Pople, J. A. Theor. Chim. Acta 1973, 28, 213. (d) Dewar, M. J. S.; Zoebisch, E. G.; Healy, E. F.; Stewart, J. J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 3902.

(7) Kim, Y.-H.; Zhao, Y.; Williamson, A.; Heben, M. J.; Zhang, S. B. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2006**, *96*, 016102.

(8) Zhang, S. B.; Northrup, J. E. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1991, 67, 2339.

(9) Oganov, A. R.; Chen, J.; Gatti, C.; Ma, Y.; Ma, Y.; Glass, C. W.;

Liu, Z.; Yu, T.; Kurakevych, O. O.; Solozhenko, V. L. Nature 2009, 457, 863.

(10) (a) Schmidt, T. M.; Baierle, R. J.; Piquini, P.; Fazzio, A. *Phys. Rev. B* **2003**, *67*, 113407. (b) Wu, X.; Yang, J.; Hou, J. G.; Zhu, Q. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *124*, 054706.

(11) Li, X. M.; Tian, W. Q.; Huang, X. R.; Sun, C. C.; Jiang, L. J. Nanopart. Res. 2009, 11, 395.

(12) Rasul, G.; Prakash, G. K. S.; Olah, G. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 7401.